



**Project Number:** [774271]

**Project Acronym:** [Farmer's Pride]

**Project title:** [Networking, partnerships and tools to enhance *in situ* conservation of European plant genetic resources]

## **COMMUNICATIONS AND MEDIA STRATEGY**



Funded by the  
Horizon 2020  
Framework Programme  
of the European Union



## **1. Introduction**

This strategy aims to ensure consistent, coordinated and timely communication throughout the Farmer's Pride project to engage our identified stakeholder groups and disseminate the project outputs effectively. The strategy is a specific element of Work Package 5 (WP5) 'Dissemination', led by Plantlife International, and its delivery involves all collaborators in the project—the partner organisations, the External Advisory Board (EAB) and the Farmer's Pride Ambassadors (FPAs).<sup>1</sup>

Using the outputs from WPs 1–4, the overarching WP5 objectives are to:

- i. Raise awareness of the importance of *in situ* conservation of plant genetic resources (PGR) with key stakeholders;
- ii. Disseminate the project outputs and outcomes effectively to stakeholders;
- iii. Build a strong coalition of support to better facilitate the *in situ* conservation, management and use of crop wild relatives (CWR) and landraces (LR) in Europe.

## **2. Communications and media objectives**

The purpose of our communications and media activities is to support the delivery of the project's overall objectives by engaging key stakeholder groups and improving communication between existing stakeholders and networks.

As a part of the broader aims of WP5, the objectives of this strategy are to:

- Ensure that project communications and media activities by all partners, the EAB and FPAs are consistent, coordinated and timely;
- Maximise the project's reach to our target stakeholders with accessible and engaging communications;
- Encourage greater collaboration and knowledge-sharing between the stakeholder groups and project collaborators;
- Achieve a measurable impact on levels of awareness and engagement among all relevant stakeholder groups.

These activities are closely linked to the project's policy and advocacy activities which aim to create an enabling environment within national governments, the EU, UN FAO and Environment and Development Programmes for policy in support of *in situ* conservation and sustainable use of PGR. These include policy dialogues and the publication of policy briefs (both under WP3 'Enabling conservation and use'), and the delivery of targeted advocacy plans.

---

<sup>1</sup> The EAB and Farmer's Pride Ambassadors are independent experts who support the project in a voluntary capacity by participating in project workshops and engaging their own networks in project activities and outputs. The EAB will also advise on the project's efficiency and effectiveness and lead the technical reviews.

### 3. Stakeholder groups

The following broad stakeholder groups (and hence audiences) have been identified by the Project partnership:

- Farmers and gardeners
- Breeding/seed sector
- Plant genetic resources (PGR) conservation sector
- Environment conservation sector
- Policymakers
- Consumers / general public

Communications are tailored to each stakeholder group based on their needs, recognising that they have varying levels of awareness of PGR conservation and use. In particular, our challenge is to engage those outside the PGR sector by relating the issue to their interests, using accessible language and communicating through appropriate formats and channels.

Key stakeholders have been identified for each output from Work Packages 1–4 so communications can be planned accordingly—see Annex 1 for details. Priority subgroups and individuals within each of the stakeholder groups will be further identified (e.g. PGR conservation farmers within the broader farmer group) as the project progresses. We are also building on the momentum of communications and media work from previous projects and partners’ ongoing activities.

### 4. Action plan and timeline of activities

The central ‘Action Plan’ of the strategy—a rolling plan that is updated as the project evolves—brings together the key messages, activities, outputs, lead partner/individual roles, and timings. This is a non-public document to enable forward planning and discussion of ideas within the project.

A broad initial timeline of the project’s communications activities are given below.

| Year | Date        | Activity or event  | Lead partner(s)   |
|------|-------------|--|---|
| 2018 | April       | Website live   | University of Birmingham (UoB)  |
|      | May         | Launch of stakeholder survey   | University of Perugia (UNIPG), Plantlife, UoB   |
|      | June        | National seed networks workshops (Denmark, Hungary)  | Pro Specie Rara, Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (OMKI), Danish Seed Savers |
|      | October     | Project factsheet<br>Policy brief no.1<br>Workshop 1 in Denmark  | Plantlife, UoB<br>Bioversity, Plantlife, UoB<br>Plantlife, UoB                        |
|      | November    | Annual CWR and LR newsletters published  | UoB, UNIPG  |
| 2019 | April       | Review of options for integrated network structure   | UoB   |
|      | May         | Analyses of <i>in situ</i> support mechanisms and ‘public will to fund’ <i>in situ</i> conservation                | Bioversity  |
|      | Autumn      | Workshop 2<br>Annual CWR and LR newsletters published  | Plantlife, UoB<br>UoB, UNIPG  |
| 2020 | April – Oct | Range of project outputs   | Various   |
|      | Autumn      | Annual CWR and LR newsletters published<br>Workshop 3, policy dialogue workshop and final dissemination conference | UoB, UNIPG<br>Bioversity, Plantlife, UoB  |

A number of the project outputs are scheduled for publication in the last few months of the project. This will be reviewed at the first annual consortium meeting to identify those which could be delivered earlier to help phase the publications over a longer period.

## 5. Key messages

Key messages for the Project are developing as activities and the associated outputs are published. These are being tailored to the target stakeholder groups to have maximum effect. In the first months, the priority has been to raise general awareness of the Project itself through existing networks and to secure stakeholder engagement through a project survey. At later stages of the project, our messages will be more focused on advocacy and network-building. Key messages will be included in the rolling plan of activities.

## 6. Channels of communication

The project collaborators are using a number of channels for Farmer's Pride communications and media activities:

- **Project website** [www.farmerspride.eu](http://www.farmerspride.eu) – this provides a focal point for our communications, and presents information about the project context, actions, collaborators, stakeholder survey and contact details. Further content will be added to the site as the project progresses, including pages for publications, a calendar of project events and relevant non-project events, a social media feed (e.g. for Twitter), a search form and pages dedicated to the final dissemination conference. Project collaborators will provide regular updates on their activities (with photos where possible) to be published on the site.
- **Existing networks** – the project collaborators are building on existing network structures to multiply the effects of our communications activities through each network's own newsletter, social media or other channels. These include national and regional networks that are represented in the project consortium (Arche Noah, Austria; Danish Seed Savers; Ökológiai Mezőgazdasági Kutatóintézet Közhasznú, Hungary; Pro Specie Rara, Switzerland; the Nordic Genetic Resource Centre; European Seed Association; Eurosite; and the European Association for Research on Plant Breeding via the Universitat Politècnica de València and UoB), as well as the European Cooperative Programme for Plant Genetic Resources (ECPGR), and other regional and national networks that the project collaborators are connected with.
- **Print media** – national newspapers and magazines.
- **Social media** – channels such as YouTube, Facebook and Twitter will be used as part of a multimedia approach. The accounts of partner organisations and individuals will be important channels for this and we will use the hashtag #farmersprideeu and other existing # to share content e.g. #cropwildrelative.
- **Conferences and workshops** attended by the project collaborators.
- **Newsletters, journals, blogs** and other specialist publications aimed at our target stakeholders.

## 7. Project outputs

All outputs from WPs 1–4 are being designed from the outset to be as compelling and useful as possible (e.g. including case studies to represent different situations and scenarios, backed up by audio-visual materials where possible). These include:

- Policy briefings and advocacy documents;
- Technical reports;
- Newsletters;
- Conference abstracts, presentations and posters;
- Peer-reviewed research papers;
- Best practice guidelines, case studies and toolkits;
- Reports from workshops and other events (e.g. open days);
- Network launch and conference.

Other resources are being produced specifically to support communications and media work:

- **Communications and branding guidelines** for project outputs and communications (published May 2018);
- **Project factsheet** as a generic tool to introduce stakeholders to the project;
- **Stories and case studies** – these are being gathered from the project collaborators based on existing resources, and used for communications throughout the project;
- **Audio-visual elements** – videos, photographs, infographics – many partners have existing resources which can be used by the Farmer's Pride project.

### Languages

Our project communications are provided in English and, where possible, other European languages. For example, the online stakeholder survey is available in English, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Italian, Spanish and Turkish, and is being translated into Finnish. The availability of different language versions of communications does however depend either on the partners being able to translate text or the project securing new funding to pay for professional translation.

Our translation needs will depend on the target stakeholders for each product and the best means of communicating with them. For example, the FP stakeholder survey is available in numerous languages to enable a wide range of stakeholders to participate: [www.farmerspride.eu/survey](http://www.farmerspride.eu/survey)

### Open Access

Research papers and other outputs will be published with open access – including the publication of pre-print versions of journal papers online.

## 8. Communications protocol

A communications protocol has been disseminated to all partners to ensure that our communications and media work is effectively coordinated, reflects the consortium's shared objectives, and maintains high standards. This includes guidance on the use of the Farmer's Pride logo and the EU emblem. Partners are working in close consultation with Plantlife in producing publications and other materials on behalf of the project.

## **9. Coordination with Dynaversity communications and social media strategies**

Farmer's Pride partners are working in collaboration with partners in the Dynaversity project ([www.dynaversity.eu](http://www.dynaversity.eu)) which was funded under the same call. As part of this, Plantlife is working in liaison with the Communications WP5 leader for the Dynaversity project, FormicaBlu, to coordinate activities and share information between the two projects. This coordination will be ongoing as part of the wider collaboration between Farmer's Pride and Dynaversity.

## **10. Monitoring and evaluation**

The action plan will be discussed and reviewed at each consortium meeting to ensure that it is a flexible 'living' document that reflects the project's objectives and the changing external context. A number of metrics will be identified to measure the impact of our communications and media activities, such as the number of responses to our stakeholder surveys.

---

**Annex 1: Results of Farmer's Pride partner survey to identify relevant stakeholder groups for each project deliverable**

| Farmer's Pride deliverable   | Stakeholder group / sector |                        |                  |                     |              |                   |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|
|  | Farmer / gardener          | Breeding / seed sector | PGR conservation | Nature conservation | Policy-maker | Consumer / public |
| D1.1 Identify in situ stakeholders                                 | 70%                        | 40%                    | 90%              | 90%                 | 60%          | 30%               |
| D1.2 Knowledge of in situ resources/sites                          | 56%                        | 67%                    | 89%              | 89%                 | 67%          | 33%               |
| D1.3 LR network showcases  | 88%                        | 50%                    | 100%             | 38%                 | 63%          | 63%               |
| D1.4 LR hotspots identification                                    | 75%                        | 50%                    | 100%             | 50%                 | 50%          | 50%               |
| D1.5 CWR Network showcases   | 56%                        | 44%                    | 89%              | 89%                 | 67%          | 33%               |
| D2.1 CWR Population management guidelines                          | 14%                        | 0%                     | 71%              | 86%                 | 71%          | 0%                |
| D2.2 In situ conservation informatics management tools             | 71%                        | 29%                    | 86%              | 71%                 | 43%          | 14%               |
| D2.3 Community Seedbank Management Guidelines                      | 78%                        | 56%                    | 89%              | 33%                 | 67%          | 22%               |
| D2.4 LR Population Management & Access Guidelines                  | 86%                        | 71%                    | 100%             | 14%                 | 71%          | 14%               |
| D2.5 Concept for in situ inclusion in EURISCO                      | 63%                        | 63%                    | 100%             | 63%                 | 63%          | 0%                |
| D2.6 Integrated In situ & ex situ conservation guidelines          | 56%                        | 56%                    | 100%             | 78%                 | 67%          | 11%               |
| D3.1 Analysis of effectiveness of in situ support mechanisms       | 29%                        | 29%                    | 100%             | 86%                 | 71%          | 14%               |
| D3.2 Analysis of public will to fund in situ conservation          | 33%                        | 0%                     | 56%              | 56%                 | 78%          | 67%               |
| D3.3 Identify in situ areas with useful adaptive traits            | 100%                       | 71%                    | 86%              | 71%                 | 71%          | 43%               |
| D3.4 Showcase showing improved in situ resource access             | 75%                        | 100%                   | 100%             | 88%                 | 63%          | 25%               |
| D3.5 Strategic Action Plan for PGR in situ maintenance             | 71%                        | 29%                    | 100%             | 86%                 | 86%          | 29%               |
| D3.6 Policy dialogue workshop to enhance in situ maintenance       | 71%                        | 43%                    | 100%             | 71%                 | 100%         | 29%               |
| D4.1 Integrated national/European network structure                | 56%                        | 67%                    | 89%              | 100%                | 89%          | 44%               |
| D4.2 LR network design   | 75%                        | 38%                    | 100%             | 0%                  | 50%          | 13%               |
| D4.3 CWR network design  | 25%                        | 25%                    | 88%              | 88%                 | 50%          | 0%                |
| D4.4 European in situ conservation network of sites / stakeholders | 63%                        | 50%                    | 88%              | 100%                | 88%          | 50%               |
| D5.5 Annual newsletters - CWR and LR                               | 100%                       | 88%                    | 100%             | 100%                | 88%          | 63%               |
| D5.6 Publication of case studies best practice and toolkits        | 88%                        | 63%                    | 88%              | 75%                 | 75%          | 50%               |
| D5.8 Dissemination conference                                      | 63%                        | 88%                    | 88%              | 100%                | 100%         | 50%               |
| D5.9 Practice abstracts  | 86%                        | 71%                    | 71%              | 86%                 | 71%          | 57%               |

**\*\*Note: darker shading indicates the deliverable is considered more relevant to the stakeholder group**