### INTRODUCTION

In the burial chamber of the tomb TT C.3 in Sheik Abd el-Qurna (Egypt), discovered by the Belgian mission in 2012, more than 30 individuals have been buried between the 18th and the 20th Dynasty. The heavy looting of the tomb caused not only the destruction of the wooden objects but also explains the significant lack of object-elements. Handling thousands of wooden elements (planks, boards, laths etc.) and fragments of them (broken parts of planks etc.) with numerous origins (deposition furniture like tables and stools, container furniture like boxes and coffins, tools and so on) can be compared to solving several jigsaw puzzles where the resulting objects can just be expected, the amount of the specific objects is unknown and several pieces are missing. The key to solving this task was the detailed study of construction methods of the entirety of wooden tomb inventory and their development, beginning with the Middle Kingdom till the end of the New Kingdom.

Due to the central role of canopic boxes, they are found in almost every tomb - and therefore being statistically second to coffins. Related to canopic boxes with *pr-nw* lid in this poster three results focusing on the lids can be presented: Part 1

• The shape of the middle part of the lid (between the end-walls) is changing over time and can be helpful for dating. • Within the change of the shape occurs a change in the construction method, which includes the amount and shape of the single elements used to produce these shapes.

Part 2 • By using these results several elements could be identified as belonging to two canopic boxes with *pr-nw* lid and the missing parts could be reconstructed.

### PART 1: DEVELOPMENT OF THE LID CONSTRUCTION TILL THE END OF THE NEW KINGDOM **EXCURSUS: BASICS OF CONSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF WOODEN OBJECTS HERE**

### **METHODOLOGY AND ITS LIMINATIONS**

Starting with wooden object types, which from our current state of knowledge can be expected in a New Kingdom noble tomb, my objective is to gain basic knowledge upon the shapes and sizes of the single elements that are needed to produce the anticipated objects (when dealing with object remains).

Hereby some limitations occur for every study related to a specific object type: a) The timeframe for answering this question by researching every single object type is usually just the time of being at the mission in Egypt. Yet this time is too limited to research all

known examples of a specific object type. b) This leads to the exclusive use of resources that are available online (
object entries in online-databases, literature available digitally) or somehow with the mission in digital way (
 pdf's of literature and so on).

c) Evidences on constructional features combined with drawings occur usually in excavation reports of the beginning of the 20th century. However, the immense number of excavation reports cannot be checked in the given timeframe.

d) Faster and more promising results can be obtained by researching online-databases for examples. But this on one hand certainly results in "investigating" photographs instead of studying the objects with your own eyes and on the other hand rules out objects from museums, that don't have online databases (yet), objects that are not mentioned in online databases (yet) or objects that have no published images online (yet).

All this together leads to the condition that every study is just based upon few (and fast accessible) examples and will stay in progress.

## **RESULTS**

**Differentiation of main shapes** 

The three known shapes of the middle part are sorted by the dating of the found examples: vaulted type from MK to NK (Ahmose), one example with gable-shaped middle-part dated to the end of the MK / beginning of the 2IP and the flat type which is just known from a rectangular coffin with pr-nw lid from the 2IP (for a drawing see 'MANT-project canopic box with pr-nw lid 1'). This also puts the shapes in the order of a decreasing quality level and therefore helps to visualize a stepwise abstraction / minimalization of the shape of the middleparts. Related to the construction this illustrates the decreasing complexity of producing the middle-part and the decreased volume of wood that is needed to produce the different shapes. Next to that it can also be stated that elements for gable-shaped and flat lids can be produced of reused boards, whereas for the vaulted type scantlings are needed (what usually makes a freshly cut tree needed).

Based on the found examples it might be possible that the three types were developed one after another. But related to the low number of evidences at that time this reflects the current state of knowledge and will need to be revised when new objects occur.

## Development of constructional features of canopic boxes with vaulted middle-parts

As the found realia mostly belong to the "vaulted middle-part" shape the constructional development can just be interpreted for this one. Also related to the points mentioned in the excursus the realia sorted by their dating are just those ones which could be dated safely. The sorting of the other realia is based on the interpreted development steps. The development shown here is based on the following features: the different kinds of positioning-aids, closing systems of the lid and orientation-aids.

#### **NOTES**

On terminology On the object corpus On technical drawings Definitions and discussion of the terms ,Box' versus ,Chest'; ,Canopic box' versus ,Viscera Box'; ,Pr-nw'; ,Pr-nw lid' and ,closing system' can be found <u>HERE</u> <u>HERE</u>

- All technical drawings are based on these international standards: LINK
- Colors in given examples: different colors are referring to different object parts (brown = lid; grey = case) - Colors in MANT-objects: different colors are referring to different status (brown = existing parts; grey = reconstructed parts) (More information on the technical drawings can be found <u>HERE</u>)

## **EXCAVATION DATA**

**Project**: <u>Mission archéologique dans la nécropole t</u>hébaine (MANT) <u>LINK</u> Institutions: Université libre de Bruxelles, Université de Liège, in collaboration with the MoA Field director: Laurent Bavay





## <u>AUTHOR</u>

Antje Zygalski, a.zygalski@googlemail.com · M.A., Conservation Scientist (CICS) · B.A., Conservator of Wooden Objects and modern materials

(Cologne Institute of Conservation Sciences – CICS) State-certified Restorer of Furniture and Wooden Objects (Professional academy for restorers Munic, state-certified three-year apprenticeship) State-certified Carpenter / Joiner / Cabinetmaker

(Carpenter guild nuremberg, state-certified three-year apprenticeship)

#### MEASUREMENTS

- width: based on the typical cube-shape  $\rightarrow$  approx. 29,5 cm
- deepness: approx. 29,5 cm (in cubit: approx. djeser)
- height: complete: approx. 44,2 cm
  - lid: approx. 14,7 cm (in cubit: approx. 2 palms) case incl. battens: approx. 29,5 cm (in cubit: approx. djeser)

(colour value like red ochre)

(colour value like yellow ochre)

#### LAYERS

- 4 red contouring outlines
- 3 yellowish background
- 2 creme-white compensating putty (colour value like chalk)
- (just locally, above joints and "wooden mistakes")
- 1 wooden support (hardwood)

JOINTS	Edge shape	fasteners	Securing medium
<b>Case</b> Side to side	Through dovetail	none	none
Bottom to sides	butt	1 peg / side	none
Battens to bottom	Butt	2 pegs / batten	none
<b>Lid</b> End-walls to middle-part	butt	2 pegs / end- wall	none
Lid to Case	butt	pegs? (1 / side?)	none



<u>Photographs:</u>

© ULB, MANT-Project (Stéphane Fetler) Both photographs show the outsides.; M = 1 : 5; after conservation. <u>Technical drawing:</u> Antje Zygalski



# WOODEN CANOPIC BOXES WITH *PR-NW* LID –

# Development of the lid construction till the end of the New Kingdom (part 1), and the practical use in working with object remains (part 2)

CC I O BY SA



positioning-aid instead: the lid-battens. As for parallel to narrow-face / left and right. the right location upon the case which was system) was developed by using the already this function. fit in the inner corners of the case. No hints on orientation-aids could be found but it lid-battens. is known from coffins since the MK that carpenter marks have been used for this function.

....

# CANOPIC BOXES WITH PR-NW LID OF THE MANT-PROJECT

Technical drav



			CANOPIC E	BOX WITH PR-NW LID 2 (b	lack contouring lines)
REMENTS based on the typical cube-shape → approx. 37,5 c ess: approx. 37,5 cm (in cubit: approx. remen) complete: approx. 53,5 cm lid: approx. 16 cm case incl. battens: approx. 37,5 cm (in cubit: approx w background inside contours (colour value like orpim contouring outlines e-white background (color value like chalk) e-white compensating putty (not found outside) len support (hardwood)		ox. remen)		CONSTENST: elements (existing) · Jasteness (existing) ORTHOGRAPHIC (ISO 5456-2: Hole Lusslent + Trufe (interpr) DETAIL	
	Edge shape	fasteners	Securing medium	A	
side to sides s to bottom	butt butt butt	pegs (3 / side?) pegs? 2 pegs / batten	none none none		(idealisiert)
d-wall to	Lid in groove, with additional tenon in mortise	none	none		
nd-wall to -part	?	?	?		internal code / object title: MANT project
	butt MANT-Project (Antje Zygals per photograph shows the galski	-	none nservation.		owner, Inv.no.: MANT No i dating, region: NK AB.D. Ta

# nes) / TYPE: Gable-shaped lid

